

ON EINSTEINIAN ORBITS OF CELESTIAL BODIES

ANGELO LOINGER

ABSTRACT. It can be demonstrated that no motion of masses can generate gravitational waves. Accordingly: *i*) the time decrease of the orbital period of the famous binary PSR1913+16 cannot yield an experimental proof of the emission of gravitational waves; *ii*) measurements of the propagation of the quasar J0842+1835 radio-signals past Jupiter cannot reveal the propagation of gravitational waves sent forth by the planet in its motion around the Sun: indeed, this motion does not generate any gravitational radiation; *iii*) the binary RX J0806.3+1527 has the shortest known revolution period (only 321 s): however, it cannot be a candidate for the detection of gravitational waves because no kind of motion of a mass can give origin to a gravitational wave.

Introduction

Innumerable papers have been written on the Einsteinian orbits of the celestial bodies. For clear reasons, the overwhelming majority of them are of a perturbative character. In the present Note I consider the above orbits only so far as the hypothesized emission of gravitational waves is concerned. Now, we shall see that from this standpoint it is possible to develop some very simple and general considerations from which one can conclude that *no motion of the celestial bodies gives origin to a gravitational radiation*.

1.– Theory

Several arguments prove that no motion of masses can generate gravitational waves [1]. An essential demonstration may be resumed in the following way.

Consider a *continuous medium* characterized by whatever mass tensor $T_{jk}(x)$, ($j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$), and let $g_{jk}(x)$ be the solution of the Einstein field equations corresponding to a generic motion with respect to a given reference system $x \equiv (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$. Suppose to follow ideally the motion of a given *mass element* describing a world line L , and suppose that at a given time this element begins to emit a gravitational wave. Now, if we refer its motion to some Riemann-Fermi coordinates $z \equiv (z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3)$ [2], the components $h_{jk}(z)$ of the metric tensor will be equal to some *constants* for *all* points of L . This means that the gravitational field *on* L can be obliterated: consequently, no gravitational wave can be actually sent forth by the considered mass element. But line L is quite generic, and therefore no motion of the medium gives origin to a gravitational radiation.

to be published on Spacetime & Substance.

It is implicit in the above reasoning that no gravitational damping force has influenced the motion of our mass element.

2.– On the binary PSR1913+16

According to many authors, an indirect experimental proof of the physical existence of the gravitational waves is given by the time decrease of the orbital period P_b of the binary radiopulsar PSR1913+16 [3]. The measured value of dP_b/dt is $-(2.30 \pm 0.22) \cdot 10^{-12}$, while the quadrupole formula of the linearized relativity gives a dP_t/dt due to a hypothesized emission of gravitational radiation equal to $-2.4 \cdot 10^{-12}$. An excellent agreement with the observational data has been also obtained by computations at third order of the gravitational constant and fifth order in v/c . However, this agreement is rather suspect owing to the unreliability of the adopted perturbative treatments (cf.[4]).

As a matter of fact, we know from the theoretical proof of sect.1 that no motion of the stars of the above binary system can generate gravitational waves. Accordingly, it would be necessary to re-examine carefully the influence on dP_b/dt of those realistic effects that Damour and Taylor have discarded as scarcely significant [5].

On the other hand, the computations of Taylor *et alii* are based on the assumption that the two stars of the system PSR1913+16 can be treated as *point* masses so far as their motions are concerned: now, point masses interacting only gravitationally describe *geodesic* lines (cf. the first paper cited in [1]), i.e. their motions are "free", "inertial" motions *with **no** radiation damping*.

The conclusion is obvious: the binary PSR1913+16 gives no experimental proof of the real existence of the gravity waves.

3.– On the motion of Jupiter

As is well known, as a consequence of the quadrupole formula of the linearized relativity, the power of the gravitational radiation sent out by Jupiter in its motion around the Sun is ≈ 450 watt. (The power of the solar *electromagnetic* radiation is about 10^{24} times greater). From the standpoint of the *exact* theory, this result is a pure nonsense because – cf. sect.1 – no motion of a body generates gravitational waves. However, the present astrophysical community gives an excessive credit to the linearized version of general relativity and to perturbative computations starting from it. Thus, in recent times we have seen a proclamation according to which an indirect experimental detection of the gravitational waves emitted by Jupiter in its motion would be quite possible, see Kopeikin and Fomalont [6]. These authors declare that, owing to a rare alignment of Jupiter's motion against the quasar J0842+1835, measurements of the propagation of the quasar radio-signals past Jupiter must be sensitive to the propagation of the gravitational radiation emitted by the planet. However, Asada [7] and subsequently Will [8], by means of more reasonable perturbative treatments, have proved the non-existence of the Kopeikin-Fomalont effect.

Of course, this result is obvious from the rigorous point of view of the exact formulation of general relativity.

4.- On the binary RX J0806.3+1527

This binary system is composed of two white dwarfs revolving around each other at a distance of only $8 \cdot 10^4$ km. The speed of the orbital motion is over 10^3 km/s, the orbital period amounts to 321 s: it is the shortest known revolution period, see Israel *et alii* [9]. These authors believe that the above binary is an excellent candidate for the detection of the gravitational waves, owing to the shortness of its period. According to them, the space experiment LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antenna), that will be launched within 2020, will be able to reveal the gravitational waves emitted by RX J0806.3+1527. Of course, this is a pure wishful thinking, see sect.1.

In conclusion, I desire also to emphasize that the astrophysical community "ignores" that the so-called gravitational waves are mere mathematical undulations devoid of a real energy and a real momentum [10].

*"Sir, I have found you an argument:
I am not obliged to find you
an understanding."
Samuel Johnson*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Loinger, *Nuovo Cimento B*, **115** (2000) 679; Idem, physics/0106052, June 17th, 2001 (misclassified, proper classification: gr-qc); Idem, *Spacetime & Substance*, **3** (2002) 129. See also A. Loinger, *On Black Holes and Gravitational Waves*, (La Goliardica Pavese, Pavia) 2002, Part II.
- [2] H. Weyl, *Kommentar zu Riemanns "Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zugrunde liegen"*, 3. Auflage (J. Springer, Berlin) 1923, sect.3; T. Levi Civita, *Mathem. Annalen* **97** (1926) 291; also in *Opere matematiche – Memorie e Note*, Vol.4^o (Zanichelli, Bologna) 1960, p.433.
- [3] R.A. Hulse, *Revs. Modern Phys.*, **66** (1994) 699; J.H. Taylor, *ibidem*, **66** (1994) 711; and the bibliography cited there. See also B.F. Schutz, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **16** (1999) A131.
- [4] A. Loinger, *Spacetime & Substance*, **3** (2002) 145.
- [5] T. Damour and J.H. Taylor, *Astrophys. J.*, **366** (1991) 501.
- [6] S.M. Kopeikin and E. Fomalont, gr-qc/0206022 v1, June 7th, 2002; also in *Proceedings of the 6th European VLBI Network Symposium – Ros E., Porcas R.W., Zensus J.A. (eds.) – June 25th-28th 2002, Bonn, Germany*. See also K. Sawyer, *Washington Post*, January 8th, 2003, p.A03.
- [7] H. Asada, astro-ph/0206266 v1, June 17th, 2002.
- [8] C.M. Will, astro-ph/0301145 v1, January 9th, 2003.
- [9] G.L. Israel *et alii*, astro-ph/0203043 v1, March 4th, 2002; to be published in *Astronomy and Astrophysics Letters*; see also ESO Press Release 06/02, March 15th, 2002.
- [10] T. Levi-Civita, *Rend. Acc. Lincei*, **26** (1917) 381; also in *Opere matematiche – Memorie e Note*, Vol.4^o (Zanichelli, Bologna) 1960, p.47. For an English translation see physics/9906004, June 2nd, 1999.

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA 16 - 20133 MILANO (ITALY)

E-mail address: `angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it`